

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

my

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/547,543		04/12/2000	Beatty Graydon	1931	6325	
21834	7590	01/27/2004			EXAMINER	
BECK ANI			MCCROSKY, DAVID J			
2900 THOMAS AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 100 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55419				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3736	12	
				DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/547,543	BEATTY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	David J. McCrosky	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N	lovember 2003.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers	, c.oc.ion requirements						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the second	is have been received. Is have been received in Application rity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not received in the certified copies not received in the certified copies not received in the sentence of the specification or covisional application has been received in the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application)  in an Application Data Sheet.  eived.  and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/547,543 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

# **DETAILED ACTION**

# Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 13 November 2003 has been entered.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on 13 November 2003 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 5,662,108 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted for the reasons below.

It does not include a recitation that any patent granted shall be enforceable only for and during such period that said patent is commonly owned with the application(s) or patent(s) which formed the basis for the double patenting rejection. See 37 CFR 1.321(c)(3).

The application/patent which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is not identified in the terminal disclaimer.

The name of the owner/assignee is missing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/547,543 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Budd et al (5,553,611) in view of Ben-Haim. Budd et al disclose a process for mapping and representing the three-dimensional structure of the heart chamber that includes passive measurement and active interrogation electrodes, a signal generator and a means for representing the endocardial wall. The reference further discloses a mapping catheter assembly having measurement electrodes and excitation electrodes. See cols. 1 and 2. Budd et al do not teach a therapy catheter. However, Ben-Haim teaches the use of a mapping/ablation catheter, locating the catheter and superimposing the image of the catheter on a heart chamber image. See cols. 3 and 5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of Budd et al with the use of a mapping/therapy catheter as taught by Ben-Haim, since this facilitates mapping and identification of lesions and subsequent application of therapy.

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/547,543

Art Unit: 3736

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 2 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,662,108 issued to Budd et al. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because although Budd et al do not claim time domain extraction, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform an extraction either in the frequency domain or time domain for the purpose of obtaining the necessary component of measurement data since it was known in the art that frequency domain and time domain extraction are practical methods of processing measurement data. Applicant has claimed subject matter broader than Budd et al. Any process meeting the claims of Budd et al would necessarily meet those of the instant application.

Claim 3 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,662,108 issued to Budd et al in view of Ben-Haim. Budd et al disclose a process for mapping and representing the three-dimensional structure of the heart chamber that includes passive measurement and active interrogation electrodes, a signal generator and a means for representing the endocardial wall. The claim further discloses a mapping process. See claim 1. The claim of Budd et al does not teach a therapy catheter. However, Ben-Haim teaches the use of a mapping/ablation catheter, locating the catheter and superimposing the image of the catheter on a heart chamber image. See

Art Unit: 3736

cols. 3 and 5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process claimed in Budd et al with the use of a mapping/therapy catheter as taught by Ben-Haim, since this facilitates mapping and identification of lesions and subsequent application of therapy.

# Response to Arguments

PTO records show that a reissue has been filed for patent number 6,240,307. However, the claim for continuity of the application has not been evaluated by the primary examiner who issued the 6,240,307 patent. It is not proper to determine priority of the above application during prosecution of the instant application.

Applicant should consider a suspension of prosecution under rule 37 CFR 1.103. See MPEP § 709.

Regardless, the double patenting issue still remains. The terminal disclaimer is not accepted for the reasons above.

#### Conclusion

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/547,543

Art Unit: 3736

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. McCrosky whose telephone number is 703-305-1331. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max F. Hindenburg can be reached on 703-308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

DJM

CHARLES MARIMOR PRIMARY EXAMINER